Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re application of: Wayne A. Damrau

Application No.: 10 /615,627 Group No.: 1734

Filed: July 9, 2003 Examiner: Brenda A. Lamb

For: Apparatus for Decreasing Skip Coating on a Paper Web

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment — See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

#### **STATUS**

2. Applicant is	
☐ a small entity. A statement:	
is attached.	
was already filed.	
other than a small entity.	
(When using Express Mail, the E	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this	s correspondence is being:
	MAILING
deposited with the United States Postal Service Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
·	Mailing Label No (mandatory)
TRA	NSMISSION
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademi	ark Office, (703)
	Delisial Konicke
January 14, 2005	Signature
Date:	Deborah Konicki
	(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 1 of 4)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

#### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE:	"Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response
	has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or
	entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . . an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity		
<ul><li>one month</li><li>two months</li><li>three months</li><li>four months</li></ul>	\$ 110.00 \$ 430.00 \$ 980.00_ \$ 1,530.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 21.5.00 \$ 490.00 \$ 765.00		

Fee: \$\_\_\_\_\_

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for r	nonths h	as aire	ady beer	n secure	d. Th	e fee
paid therefor of \$ is dedu						
months of extension now requested.						
The second secon						

Extension fee due with this request

,	•	ĸ	

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 2 of 4)

# FEE FOR CLAIMS

4.	The fee for clair	ns (37 C	).F.R	. § 1.16(b	)-(d)) has	been ca	lculated	as sl	nown b	elow:
	(Col. 1)		•	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMAL	L ENTITY			L ENTITY
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		PR	GHEST NO EVIOUSLY AID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
тот	al · /26	MINUS	·•	126	·	x\$9 =	\$		x\$18=	\$
INDI	EP. 8	MINUS	***	8	=	x\$ <i>44</i> =	\$		x\$88=	\$
□ F	IRST PRESENTATION	OF MULT	TIPLE	DEP. CLAII	VI	+\$150=	\$		+\$300=	\$
	If the entry in Col.					TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
(c)		equirement (C	of fo	m which ha	as been made (d), as ap	e." 37 C.F	.R. § 1,11			
	•			(	OR	•				
(d)	☐ Total addit	ional fee	for	claims re	quired \$_			<b>:</b>		•
				FEE PA	YMENT					
	Attached is a  Authorization is  to Deposit  to Credit or form PTO-2	hereby Account ard as s	mad No.	e to char	ge the am	ount of	\$			<b>-</b>
_	RNING: Credit card								•	
	Charge any add manner authoriz			equired by	y this pape	er or cre	dit any d	overp	ayment	in the
•	A duplicate of t	his pape	r is a	attached.						
	·				(An	nendment	Transmitta	al [9 <b>–</b> 1:	9]—page	3 of 4)

#### FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the meximum, six-month period has expired before the deliciency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any lee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1988, (1085 O.G. 31-33).

6. ☑ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account 10-1324 AND/OR or other matters

If any additional fee for claims, is required, charge Account 10-1324

Reg. No.: 25.694

Tel. No.: (312) 236-8123

Customer No.: 21015

Robert A. Lloyd

(type or print name of practitioner)

PYLE & PIONTEK 221 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 850

P.O. Address

Chicago, IL 60601

(Amendment Trenemittel (9-18)-page 4 of 4)